



2-21-84
A. Thomas

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT
AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:) I hereby certify that this paper
HUGH CAIRNS ET AL.) is being deposited with the
Serial No: 344,982) United States Postal Service as
Filed: February 2, 1982) First Class mail in an envelope
For: "COMPOUNDS") addressed to: Commissioner of
Patents & Trademarks, Washington
D.C. 20231, on this date.
)
) Jan. 26, 1984 (Date)
) Basil P. Mann
) Basil P. Mann
Group Art Unit: 122)
Examiner: David Springer) Registration No. 18,464
Attorney for Applicant(s)

RESPONSE "B"

Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 28, 1983.
reconsideration of the rejection of the claims in the application
is respectfully requested in view of the comparative data sub-
mitted herewith and discussed in detail below.

REMARKS

The claims in this application have been rejected on the
combined teachings of the Albrecht, Yamanouchi and Connor ref-
erences. In particular, the Examiner alleges that Yamanouchi
discloses a compound, 6,9-dihydro-6-methyl-9-oxo-2H-pyrano(2,3-g)
quinoline-8-carboxylic acid, which is a position isomer of the
compounds claimed herein and which therefore prima facie renders
the claimed compounds unpatentable.

In response to the Examiner's argument, there are pre-
sented herewith two declarations setting forth the results of
comparative tests of Yamanouchi's above-identified compound in